



Land Use Bylaw Engagement Session Evaluation: April 22, 2026 Public Session

There were 13 evaluations completed by participants at the session. Below please find a summary of their responses.

1) I was encouraged to share my thoughts and/or feedback during this session.

11 Agree 2 Somewhat Agree ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree ☐ Not Applicable

2) I had the information I needed to participate in a meaningful way.

7 Agree 5 Somewhat Agree 1 Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree ☐ Not Applicable

3) I understand what factors I can influence.

6 Agree 6 Somewhat Agree ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree ☐ Not Applicable

4) My input was documented as part of the engagement process.

8 Agree 4 Somewhat Agree ☐ Somewhat Disagree ☐ Disagree ☐ Not Applicable

5) What did you like most about this engagement?

- Good facilitation
- I enjoyed the community input
- I hope Council does take all the info the participants gave!
- The opportunity to have our voice heard, with the hope that majority views will be respected.
- Open facilitated discussion.
- I enjoyed hearing neighbours and other Town folks' perspectives. Good communication from Town employees.
- Hearing neighbours' ideas.
- Everyone was encouraged to share their opinions.
- The wealth of knowledge of the Development reps.
- People were willing to discuss points – very opinionated in some cases.
- Convos with people

6) What did you like least about this engagement?

- Not enough time to get to all the questions.
- I did not like the presumption that the community's influence is limited.
- Noisy
- Not enough time at each table.
- Could use more time.
- The very loud, opinionated participants.
- Would have liked a better indication of how the presentation was being given. It was not really an "open house."

Making a positive difference now and for generations to come.



- Short times, no direction in where to go next (some direction would be nice).

7) Any other comments you would like to share?

- Multiple channels are needed to reach a broader segment of the community for input.
- I do not agree that the developers get a “private” session to “influence.”
- Each presenter should do for the whole room. Then next presenter. So everyone can comment at once, more productive.
- I would like to go back to R1, R2, R3.
- So very appreciative of the opportunity to speak with planners.
- Thanks for engaging the neighbours in the process.
- Thank you!
- We need more sessions like this with decisions made on critical points.
- Very engaging.
- There should have been more advertising – Facebook etc., High River Online.
- Maybe a design warm-up for folks unfamiliar to engagement sessions, e.x. something like “why is High River great?” to start conversations.