

Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program Situation & Pipeline Report #89 July 29 – August 5, 2024

Issued: August 5, 2024

RESTORE LOUISIANA

Table of Contents

| Program Overview | 3 |
|---|----|
| Homeowner Phase Prioritization Factors | |
| Eligibility | 4 |
| Homeowner Program Steps | 5 |
| Program Outreach | 5 |
| Executive Summary | 6 |
| Program Mobile and Event Outreach | 6 |
| Table 1: Completed Outreach: | 6 |
| Table 2: Homeowner Program Snapshot | |
| Table 3: Key Program Statistics: Totals to Date | 8 |
| Table 8: Demographic Information for Submitted Applications | 9 |
| Appendix A Table 11: Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by House District. | 10 |
| Appendix A Table 12: Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by Senate District. | 11 |
| Appendix B Table 13: FEMA Verified Loss > \$3,000, Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by Parish. | 12 |
| Appendix C Table 14: Grant Awards by Parish | 13 |



Program Overview

The Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program provides grant funding to homeowners affected by 2020-21 Disasters to help with repairs, reconstruction, and/or reimburse for repairs already completed.

The program is administered by the Louisiana Office of Community Development (OCD) and funded by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) through federal appropriations of Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) funds.

| | DISASTER EVENT ALLOCATIONS | DATE | AMOUNT |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Hurricanes Laura & Delta | October 29, 2021 | \$600 M |
| 2 | Hurricane Ida & May '21 Severe Storms | March 22, 2024 | \$1.27 B |
| 2 | + Hurricanes Laura & Delta | March 22, 2021 | + \$450 M |
| 3 | Hurricane Ida & May '21 Severe Storms | Sept. 30, 2022 | \$800 M |

Louisiana has been allocated more than \$3.1 billion for all eligible storms:

The first step in receiving program assistance is to complete the program survey. The information provided in the survey will help the program phase homeowners for assistance. The deadline to complete the survey was August 1, 2023.

Homeowner Phase Prioritization Factors

To serve the most vulnerable populations effectively in our state, the program's phased approach, which is aligned with federal guidance, ensures that the most vulnerable populations are prioritized for assistance.



| • |
|---|
| 8 |

Household Income

Households with the lowest income, according to HUD AMI for their area, will be prioritized.



prioritized.

Homeowner Age Homeowners over the age of 62 will be **Disabled Household Members**

If a household includes a disabled member, it will be prioritized.

Located in Most-**Impacted Parishes**

Household addresses located in a mostimpacted and distressed parishes will be prioritized.



Repairs Complete or incomplete

Households with incomplete repairs will be prioritized.

The phases prioritize homeowners for assistance based on household income, homeowner age, disabled household members, whether the damaged address is in a Most-Impacted parish, and if the damaged address' repairs are complete or incomplete.





Based on current funding limitations and federal guidance, to be placed in a phase, you must have:

(1) owned and still own the damaged home

(2) occupied the home at the time of the disaster

(3) sustained FEMA IA damages of \$3,000 or greater for repairs

(4) NOT received or expect to receive structural insurance payments greater than \$50k

| APPLICATION PHASES | | PHASE I | PHASE II | PHASE III | PHASE IV | PHASE V | PHASE VI |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | LESS THAN 50% AMI | | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD INCOME BETWEEN 50% & 8 | BETWEEN 50% & 80% AMI | | | | | | |
| | GREATER THAN 80% AMI | | | | | | |
| GEOGRAPHY | MOST IMPACTED | | | | | | |
| GEOGRAPHY | OTHER IMPACTED | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | N/A |
| HOMEOWNER 62+ AND/OR HOUSEHOLD | YES | N1/A | | | | | N1/A |
| MEMBER WITH DISABILITY | NO | N/A | | | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| STATUS OF REPAIRS | COMPLETE | N//A | N1/A | N/A | | | |
| STATUS OF REPAIRS | INCOMPLETE | N/A | N/A | | | | |

AMI = AREA MEDIAN INCOME

Based on survey responses and after an environmental review is completed on the damaged residence, the program invites homeowners in Phases 1 – 6 to start a program application for assistance to determine eligibility. Phase 7 eligibility is based on FEMA direct housing participation and other program eligibility requirements.

Eligibility

After the application is submitted, it is reviewed for eligibility. To be eligible for program assistance, homeowners must meet the following requirements:

- Owner occupant at time of disaster event and still maintain ownership through program final project inspection.
- Damaged address was the applicant's primary residence at the time of disaster event
- Suffered damage as a result of the 2020-21 Disasters
- Eligible structure as determined by program, including single family homes, duplexes, mobile homes and condominiums
- Located in one of 60 disaster declared parishes



Homeowner Program Steps

Below is an overview of the homeowner journey in the program, from initial survey to reimbursement and/or repair or reconstruction.



Completing the program survey is the first step in receiving program assistance. The Restore Louisiana program survey deadline was August 1, 2023. Homeowners who did not submit a survey by the deadline may not be considered for program assistance.



The federally required environmental review is the process of reviewing a project and its potential environmental impact to determine whether it meets federal, state and local environmental standards. The environmental review is conducted without an appointment and from the road or right-of-way.



Qualified homeowners who submitted a program survey and have had an environmental review of their property performed by the program will be invited to start the program application according to their phase. The deadline to complete the Restore Louisiana program application was October 31, 2023.



During a scheduled damage assessment appointment with the applicant, inspectors will use industry standard software to inspect work already completed and to determine a scope of work for prospective repairs needed to complete the home. The program will also send inspectors to test for lead-based paint in homes constructed prior to 1978.



The program will review the application and information from third-party sources to determine whether the homeowner meets eligibility requirements for the program. If the program is unable to verify information from third party sources, applicants may be required to submit supporting documentation for program review.



After steps 1-5 are completed, the homeowner will receive their calculated grant award which accounts for any duplication of benefits. The homeowner will have 60 days to either accept or appeal their award. Homeowners may also consult with their case manager during this period.



If a homeowner has remaining repairs or will require reconstruction, during the application the homeowner will choose between SOLUTION 1: Program Managed Construction or SOLUTION 2: Homeowner Managed Construction prior to closing on their grant award. Based on individual conditions at the time of application, applicants can choose the program solution which best fits their own need and capacity to complete their home repair or reconstruction project within the program allotted timeframe.

Prior to closing on their grant awards, applicants will be asked to select their contractor based on their previously chosen solution. Applicant will be able to work with their case manager throughout the contractor selection process.

Please visit the program Resources page for more information on contractor selection:

- Solution 1 Reconstruction
 - Solution 2 Reconstruction
- Fortified Construction Checklist
- Solution 2 10 Tips for Hiring a
 Fortif
 Contractor
 Fortif
 - Fortified Roof Checklist

Solution 2 Mobile Home Unit Repair

Contractor Selection is the last status where the solution chosen on the application may be changed prior to closing on the grant award.



After accepting their award, the homeowner will meet with the closing team to sign their grant agreement.



Once the grant agreement is executed and depending on the homeowner's selected solution, the homeowner will be issued a reimbursement check and/or will be provided a grant award to repair or reconstruct their home. The allotted time for project repairs/ reconstruction starts on the date the grant agreement is executed.

Program Outreach

 The Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program discontinued mobile support locations effective Friday, November 17, 2023, including the office located in Lake Charles at the Magnolia Building, 1011 Lakeshore Drive. Homeowners may continue to submit their applications to the Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance Program online by logging into their account at restore.la.gov or by calling the program call center at 866.735.2001 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Executive Summary

RESTORE

LOUISIANA

- The Restore Louisiana Homeowner Assistance survey opened on Feb. 1, 2022. The program opened applications:
 - Phase 1 on May 11, 2022
 - Phase 2 3 on Aug. 24, 2022
 - \circ $\,$ Phase 4 5 on Nov. 28, 2022 $\,$
 - \circ $\,$ Phase 6 on Dec. 15, 2022 $\,$
 - Phase 7 on Apr. 17, 2023
- Survey Submission Deadline of 8/1/2023 has passed.
- Application Submission Deadline of 10/31/2023 has passed.
- As of 8/5/2024 there have been 39,325 total survey submissions. 25,771 homeowners were determined to be in Phases I – VII.
- 25,752 environmental reviews have been completed, representing 99.9% of the 25,771 homeowners in Phases I – VII.
- 25,742 homeowners, from Phases I VII, have been invited to start an application. 20,615 applications have been submitted, representing 80% of the homeowners invited to start an application.
- There has been a total of 12,521 grant awards offered to homeowners totaling \$897,290,451.24. Of those, 9,662 homeowners have closed on their grant agreements, obligating \$703,765,799.57.
- 3,662 Housing projects have been completed to date.

Program Mobile and Event Outreach

- There were no planned outreach events during this reporting period.
- Table 1: Completed Outreach:

| Mo./ Yr. | Outreach |
|--------------|----------|
| 2022 Jun-Dec | 111 |
| 1st Qtr. '23 | 56 |
| 2nd Qtr. '23 | 113 |
| 3rd Qtr. '23 | 229 |
| 4th Qtr. '23 | 92 |

• No planned Mobile Outreaches scheduled to take place in the next reporting period.



Table 2: Homeowner Program Snapshot.

| Restore 2020 | Homeowner Prog | ram Wee | kly Snapshot | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Activity | As of 7/29/2024 | Weekly Activity | As of 8/5/2024 | Percentage | Total Dollars |
| Surveys Recorded | | | | Survey Deadlin | e 8/1/23 |
| Submitted Surveys | 39,325 | 0 | 39,325 | 100% | |
| Working Phases (1-7) Total | 25,771 | 0 | 25,771 | 66% | |
| Non-Working Phases Total | 13,554 | 0 | 13,554 | 34% | |
| Environmental Reviews | | | | | |
| Environmental Reviews Available to Work | 20 | -1 | 19 | | |
| Environmental Reviews Completed | 25,751 | 1 | 25,752 | | |
| Home Inspections | | | | | |
| Home Inspections Available to Work | 66 | 3 | 69 | | |
| Total Home Inspections Completed | 20,081 | 12 | 20,093 | | |
| Applications In Process | | | | Application Dea | adline 10/31/23 |
| Total Number of Invited Applications | 25,740 | 2 | 25,742 | | |
| Applications Not Submitted by Deadline | 5,023 | -1 | 5,022 | | |
| Application Documentation Requested | 110 | -5 | 105 🕨 | | |
| Applications Available for Grant Determination | 20,607 | 8 | • | 100% | |
| Pending Grant Determination | 1,002 | -70 | 932 | 5% | |
| Grant Determinations | | | 95% | % Grant Determinations | |
| Zero Award | 853 | -8 | 4 845 | 4% | |
| Ineligible | 3,913 | -88 | 3,825 | 19% | |
| Withdrawn | 571 | 11 | 582 | 3% | |
| Inactive Offered | 1,840 | 70 | 1,910 | 9% | |
| Appeals In Progress | 241 | -28 | 213 | | |
| Grant Awards Offered to Applicant | 12,428 | 93 | 4 12,521 | 61% | \$897,290,451.24 |
| Grant Awards | | | | | |
| Grant Awards Obligated | 9,475 | 187 | 9,662 | | \$703,765,799.57 |
| Solution 1 & 1/3 | 4,008 | 61 | 4,069 | | \$305,801,758.25 |
| Solution 2 & 2/3 | 5,244 | 123 | 5,367 | | \$386,160,980.46 |
| Solution 3 Only | 223 | 3 | 226 | | \$11,803,060.86 |
| Disbursements | | | | | |
| Total Disbursements | 6,475 | 171 | 6,646 | | \$347,952,973.64 |
| Solution 1 & 1/3 | 2,740 | 69 | 2,809 | | \$118,592,772.02 |
| Solution 2 & 2/3 | 3,523 | 100 | 3,623 | | \$217,949,748.01 |
| Solution 3 Only | 212 | 2 | 214 | | \$11,410,453.61 |
| Housing Rehab/Reconstruction Complete | | | | | |
| Housing Rehab/Reconstruction Complete | 3,573 | 89 | 3,662 | | |
| Solution 1 & 1/3 | 1,285 | 26 | 1,311 | | |
| Solution 2 & 2/3 | 2,072 | 61 | 2,133 | | |
| Solution 3 Only | 216 | 2 | 218 | | |



Table 3: Key Program Statistics: Totals to Date.





Table 8: Demographic Information for Submitted Applications.

| Self-Reported Race | Submitted Applications | Submitted Applications % | Grants Offered | Grants Offered Percentage % | Grant Awards Obligated | Obligated Percentage % |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| American Indian/Alaska Native | 173 | 0.8% | 158 | 1.3% | 115 | 1.2% |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White | 101 | 0.5% | 87 | 0.7% | 68 | 0.7% |
| American Indian/Alaskan Native/Black-African American | 245 | 1.2% | 230 | 1.8% | 183 | 1.9% |
| Asian | 96 | 0.5% | 81 | 0.7% | 60 | 0.6% |
| Asian and White | 24 | 0.1% | 23 | 0.2% | 16 | 0.2% |
| Black/African American | 8,305 | 40.3% | 7,489 | 59.8% | 5,848 | 60.5% |
| Black/African American and White | 227 | 1.1% | 201 | 1.6% | 161 | 1.7% |
| Decline to answer | 279 | 1.4% | 244 | 2.0% | 180 | 1.9% |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 8 | 0.0% | 8 | 0.1% | 8 | 0.1% |
| Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander and White | 9 | 0.0% | 9 | 0.1% | 8 | 0.1% |
| Other Multi-Racial | 232 | 1.1% | 196 | 1.6% | 145 | 1.5% |
| White | 4,272 | 20.7% | 3,731 | 29.8% | 2,820 | 29.2% |
| NOT PROVIDED | 6,644 | 32.2% | 64 | 0.5% | 50 | 0.5% |
| Total | 20,615 | 100% | 12,521 | 100% | 9,662 | 100% |

Female Head of Household % of Complete Apps:

46%

Appendix A Table 11: Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by House District.

RESTORE

LOUISIANA

| House District | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered | House District | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered | House District | , |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---|
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 37 | 356 | 225 | 73 | |
| 2 | 10 | 8 | 38 | 20 | 16 | 74 | |
| 3 | 16 | 8 | 39 | 30 | 20 | 75 | |
| 4 | 11 | 6 | 40 | 58 | 43 | 76 | |
| 5 | 3 | 2 | 41 | 42 | 19 | 77 | |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 68 | 51 | 78 | |
| 7 | 3 | 1 | 43 | 13 | 8 | 79 | |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 36 | 20 | 80 | |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 9 | 4 | 81 | |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 52 | 35 | 82 | |
| 11 | 10 | 6 | 47 | 358 | 191 | 83 | |
| 12 | 18 | 11 | 48 | 43 | 29 | 84 | |
| 13 | 30 | 21 | 49 | 108 | 86 | 85 | |
| 14 | 13 | 9 | 50 | 126 | 83 | 86 | |
| 15 | 7 | 6 | 51 | 1,207 | 731 | 87 | |
| 16 | 46 | 32 | 52 | 729 | 447 | 88 | |
| 17 | 43 | 30 | 53 | 1,214 | 636 | 89 | |
| 18 | 37 | 29 | 54 | 1,182 | 615 | 90 | |
| 19 | 9 | 6 | 55 | 868 | 555 | 91 | |
| 20 | 3 | 2 | 56 | 512 | 300 | 92 | |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1,286 | 839 | 93 | |
| 22 | 61 | 38 | 58 | 712 | 485 | 94 | |
| 23 | 24 | 14 | 59 | 75 | 47 | 95 | |
| 24 | 54 | 34 | 60 | 303 | 207 | 96 | |
| 25 | 61 | 33 | 61 | 49 | 25 | 97 | |
| 26 | 57 | 34 | 62 | 85 | 58 | 98 | |
| 27 | 32 | 20 | 63 | 62 | 37 | 99 | |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 35 | 21 | 100 | |
| 29 | 64 | 41 | 65 | 32 | 21 | 101 | |
| 30 | 74 | 43 | 66 | 25 | 16 | 102 | |
| 31 | 14 | 8 | 67 | 39 | 20 | 103 | |
| 32 | 306 | 203 | 68 | 41 | 27 | 104 | |
| 33 | 341 | 202 | 69 | 16 | 9 | 105 | |
| 34 | 957 | 573 | 70 | 29 | 19 | Unknown | Γ |
| 35 | 377 | 236 | 71 | 35 | 23 | Total | Γ |
| 36 | 255 | 153 | 72 | 1,037 | 686 | | |

| House District | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 73 | 529 | 342 |
| 74 | 166 | 107 |
| 75 | 128 | 84 |
| 76 | 107 | 56 |
| 77 | 70 | 31 |
| 78 | 139 | 75 |
| 79 | 155 | 87 |
| 80 | 52 | 30 |
| 81 | 508 | 335 |
| 82 | 90 | 50 |
| 83 | 493 | 296 |
| 84 | 309 | 152 |
| 85 | 124 | 71 |
| 86 | 321 | 199 |
| 87 | 256 | 160 |
| 88 | 73 | 39 |
| 89 | 49 | 25 |
| 90 | 99 | 50 |
| 91 | 182 | 114 |
| 92 | 314 | 186 |
| 93 | 160 | 81 |
| 94 | 86 | 47 |
| 95 | 183 | 119 |
| 96 | 69 | 48 |
| 97 | 366 | 220 |
| 98 | 100 | 57 |
| 99 | 368 | 216 |
| 100 | 412 | 263 |
| 101 | 39 | 26 |
| 102 | 221 | 145 |
| 103 | 211 | 130 |
| 104 | 86 | 46 |
| 105 | 318 | 169 |
| Unknown | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 20,615 | 12,521 |

Appendix A Table 12: Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by Senate District.

RESTORE

LOUISIANA

| Senate District | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered | Senate District | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | 365 | 202 | 22 | 158 | 110 |
| 2 | 2,225 | 1,526 | 23 | 34 | 19 |
| 3 | 864 | 531 | 24 | 143 | 95 |
| 4 | 767 | 448 | 25 | 893 | 519 |
| 5 | 364 | 218 | 26 | 190 | 128 |
| 6 | 916 | 613 | 27 | 1,395 | 839 |
| 7 | 456 | 287 | 28 | 163 | 107 |
| 8 | 1,074 | 571 | 29 | 136 | 79 |
| 9 | 159 | 91 | 30 | 595 | 378 |
| 10 | 424 | 238 | 31 | 100 | 57 |
| 11 | 276 | 149 | 32 | 36 | 22 |
| 12 | 949 | 596 | 33 | 50 | 29 |
| 13 | 255 | 162 | 34 | 72 | 55 |
| 14 | 134 | 74 | 35 | 44 | 34 |
| 15 | 142 | 90 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | 75 | 48 | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| 17 | 423 | 293 | 38 | 9 | 4 |
| 18 | 424 | 252 | 39 | 34 | 21 |
| 19 | 1,803 | 1,067 | Unknown | 1 | 0 |
| 20 | 3,323 | 1,805 | Total | 20,615 | 12,521 |
| 21 | 1,141 | 762 | | | |

Appendix B Table 13: FEMA Verified Loss (FVL) Greater than \$3,000, Submitted Applications and Grants Offered by Parish.

| Damaged Parish | *> \$3K FVL | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered |
|------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Acadia | 710 | 115 | 76 |
| Allen | 821 | 152 | 101 |
| Ascension | 1,493 | 376 | 237 |
| Assumption | 605 | 274 | 197 |
| Beauregard | 1,631 | 213 | 147 |
| Bienville | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bossier | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Caddo | 269 | 46 | 27 |
| Calcasieu | 12,504 | 2,165 | 1,301 |
| Cameron | 1,068 | 211 | 102 |
| Catahoula | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| East Baton Rouge | 1,918 | 408 | 251 |
| East Feliciana | 235 | 83 | 60 |
| Grant | 345 | 51 | 33 |
| Iberia | 460 | 142 | 103 |
| Iberville | 404 | 105 | 66 |
| Jackson | 72 | 11 | 9 |
| Jefferson | 10,086 | 2,072 | 1,181 |
| Jefferson Davis | 988 | 175 | 109 |
| La Salle | 45 | 0 | 0 |
| LaSalle | 0 | 11 | 7 |
| Lafayette | 553 | 112 | 67 |
| Lafourche | 6,984 | 2,188 | 1,257 |
| Lincoln | 98 | 11 | 6 |
| Livingston | 2,104 | 414 | 264 |
| Morehouse | 131 | 30 | 22 |
| Natchitoches | 222 | 26 | 15 |

| Damaged Parish | *> \$3K FVL | Submitted Applications | Grant Awards Offered |
|----------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Orleans | 7,318 | 1,904 | 1,144 |
| Ouachita | 433 | 89 | 62 |
| Plaquemines | 876 | 269 | 139 |
| Pointe Coupee | 74 | 22 | 19 |
| Rapides | 1,258 | 147 | 84 |
| Red River | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sabine | 161 | 17 | 9 |
| St. Bernard | 959 | 143 | 91 |
| St. Charles | 2,754 | 827 | 514 |
| St. Helena | 672 | 386 | 283 |
| St. James | 1,448 | 564 | 385 |
| St. John the Baptist | 4,007 | 1,202 | 777 |
| St. Landry | 604 | 93 | 68 |
| St. Martin | 353 | 77 | 53 |
| St. Mary | 427 | 140 | 97 |
| St. Tammany | 2,751 | 449 | 228 |
| Tangipahoa | 4,495 | 1,584 | 996 |
| Terrebonne | 8,193 | 2,907 | 1,670 |
| Union | 103 | 16 | 10 |
| Vermilion | 677 | 115 | 80 |
| Vernon | 497 | 67 | 39 |
| Washington | 753 | 156 | 104 |
| West Baton Rouge | 101 | 22 | 13 |
| West Feliciana | 41 | 9 | 6 |
| Winn | 135 | 19 | 12 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 82,840 | 20,615 | 12,521 |

*Pipeline #6: FEMA Major/Severe Data Updated

*Pipeline #17 FEMA Major Severe column updated to reflect FVL>\$5K

*Pipeline #26 FVL>\$5K column updated to reflect FVL>\$3K

*Pipeline #46: FEMA Data Updated

RESTORE

LOUISIANA



Appendix C Table 14: Grant Awards by Parish.

| Acadia 6 76 5 5.101.356.33 62 5 4.138,143. Allen 5 101 \$ 8.716,238.55 77 \$ 6.411,518.4 Ascension 14 1237 \$ 15,602,177.60 183 \$ 12,238,53.49 Assumption 144 197 \$ 12,804.07 149 \$ 11,516,076.15. Caddo 1 27 \$ 12,880.069 20 \$ 11,526,995.5. Calcasieu 97 1,001 \$ 109,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 9,02,38,640.3 Cameron 14 102 \$ 3,24,864.16 68 \$ 61,21,43.7. East Relicina 2 003 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,964,55.9. Grant 4 33 \$ 7,136.05.5 \$ 7.47,781.05.5 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 35,342.15.0 \$ \$ 7.47,781.05.5 Jeffe | Damaged Parish | Pending Grant Determination | Grant Award Offered | Grant Awards Offered Amounts (\$) | | Obligated Award | Obligated Award Amount (\$) | |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Allen 5 101 \$ 8.716,288.55 77 \$ 6.411,518.4 Ascension 14 237 \$ 15,602,177.60 183 \$ 12,238,534.9 Assumption 14 197 \$ 14,921,038.27 149 \$ 11,510,761.5 Beauregard 9 147 \$ 13,617,034.72 120 \$ 11,50,761.5 Caddo 1 27 \$ 12,88,006.9 201 \$ 10,28,553.5 Cadroo 14 102 \$ 32,43,861.6 68 \$ 6,274,143.7 East Baton Rouge 20 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,100.9 East Baton Rouge 20 5 7,877,211.44 82 \$ 6,804,303.5 Iberai 2 103 \$ 7,877,211.44 82 \$ 6,804,303.5 Jefferson 66 1,181 \$ 5,112,653.25 927 \$ 4,736,6473.3 | Acadia | | | | | | Ś | |
| Ascension 14 237 \$ 15,602,177.60 188 \$ 12,288,33.9 Assumption 1.4 197 \$ 14,921,088.27 149 \$ 11,526,495.53 Caddo 1 27 \$ 12,88,080.69 20 \$ 11,626,455.53 Cadagieu 97 1,301 \$ 103,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.33 Carearieu 97 1,301 \$ 103,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.33 Carearieu 97 1,301 \$ 13,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.33 East Felicinan 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 64 \$ 5,377,810.53 Grant 4 33 \$ 2,136,426.97 20 \$ 1,288,293.33 Iberville 5 66 \$ 4,825,338.84 52 \$ 3,964,655.93 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.73 \$ 8 680,403.51 Jefferson Davis 8 100 \$ 5,824,215.05 \$ 5 \$ 289,339.91 Lafaucthe 1 7 \$ 33,241.50 5 \$ 5,828,349.91 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| Assumption 14 197 \$ 14,921,038.27 149 \$ 11,152,6495.5 Beauregard 9 147 \$ 1,361,034.72 120 \$ 11,510,761.5 Cadao 1 27 \$ 1,280,0669 20 \$ 1,028,553.5 Calcasieu 97 1,301 \$ 109,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.3 Cameron 144 102 \$ 3,243,861.6 68 \$ 6,214,413.7 East Baton Rouge 20 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,100.91 East Feliciana 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,392,512.34 Iberville 5 666 \$ 4,825,398.45 52 \$ 3,964,655.9 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.5 Jefferson 666 1,181 \$ 59,112,653.25 927 \$ 47,366,473.3 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 80,478,133.4 Laforche 108 1,257 \$ 10,340,774.57 949 \$ 80,478,134.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Beauregard 9 147 \$ 13,617,034.72 120 \$ 11,510,761.53 Caddo 1 27 \$ 1288,080.69 20 \$ 10,28,553.53 Calcasieu 97 1,301 \$ 109,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.33 Cameron 14 102 \$ 9,324,386.16 68 \$ 6,214,143.7 East Baton Rouge 20 251 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 1,086,071.88 East Feliciana 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 46 \$ 3,777,810.55 Grant 4 33 \$ 2,136,426.97 20 \$ 1,286,239.35 Iberai 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,64,957.25 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ \$ 680,405.59 Jackson 0.66 1,181 \$ \$ 59,112,653.25 927 \$ 47,366,473.84 Jefferson Davis 8 100 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.64 Lasalle 1 7 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.64 | | | - | | | | | |
| Caddo 1 27 \$ 1,288,080.69 20 \$ 1,028,535.5 Calcasieu 97 1,301 \$ 109,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.3 Cancaron 14 102 \$ 9,324,386.16 668 \$ 6,214,143.7 East Baton Rouge 200 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,310.99 East Feliciana 2 600 \$ 4,875,513.95 466 \$ 3,777,810.57 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,392,512.34 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,873,271.44 82 \$ 5,894,305.5 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.5 Jefferson 666 1,181 \$ 5,911,653.55 927 \$ 47,366,473.81 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 403,407,4757 949 \$ 8,0478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642,271 \$ 4 \$ 310,6045.6 Lindorche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 | | | | | | | | |
| Calcasieu 97 1,301 \$ 109,862,900.77 1,036 \$ 90,238,640.37 Cameron 14 102 \$ 9,324,386.16 68 \$ 6,214,143.73 East Baton Rouge 20 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,100.91 East Felciana 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 46 \$ 3,777,810.51 Grant 4 33 \$ 2,136,426.97 20 \$ 1,286,239.31 Iberain 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,895,123.4 Iberain 2 103 \$ 8,787,271.44 82 \$ 5,896,430.55 Jackson 0 9 \$ 707,271.44 82 \$ 5,896,430.55 Jackson 0 9 \$ 7,877.81.05 \$ 5 289,339.91 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 6,804,430.55 Jafgette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.64 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 10,847,642.27 4 \$ 3,010,845.81 | | | | | | - | | |
| Cameron 14 102 \$ 9,324,386.16 68 \$ 6,214,143.7 East Baton Rouge 20 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,100.97 East Felicinan 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 46 \$ 3,777,718.10.57 Grant 4 33 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,395,123.47 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,395,123.47 Iberville 5 666 \$ 4,252,539.84 52 \$ 5,396,4655.97 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.57 Jefferson Davis 8 1000 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 6,974,843.37 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.69 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 10,302,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.33 Ouzakita 4 62 \$ 4,034,696.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.0 | | | | - | | - | | |
| East Baton Rouge 20 251 \$ 14,400,718.82 203 \$ 10,873,100.97 East Feliciana 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 46 \$ 3,777,810.97 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,395,123.41 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 5,395,123.41 Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 5,395,123.41 Iberia 0 9 \$ 7,072,671.44 82 \$ 5,396,465.59 Jackson 0 9 \$ 7,072,671.44 82 \$ 6,604,430.51 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 5,074,843.3 LaSalle 1 7 \$ 35,421.50 \$ 5 283,33.99 Lafourche 108 1,275 \$ 10,302,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Linoch 1 6 \$ 4,76,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.81 Linoch 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 7,80,131.2 Orl | | | - | | | - | | |
| East Feliciana 2 60 \$ 4,875,513.95 46 \$ 3,777,810.55 Grant 4 33 \$ 2,136,426.97 20 \$ 1,286,239.35 Iberial 2 103 \$ 7,777,414 82 \$ 6,395,123.4 Iberville 5 66 \$ 4,825,398.84 52 \$ 3,966,655.9 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,669.70 8 \$ 680,430.57 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 922 \$ 47,366,473.8 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,945.6 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,74.98 51 \$ 3,106,945.6 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,184.4 Lincoln 1 16 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.8 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orlera | | | - | | | | | |
| Grant 4 33 \$ 2,136,426.97 20 \$ 1,286,239.3 iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,395,123.4 berville 5 66 \$ 4,825,398.84 52 \$ 5,366,455.9 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.51 Jefferson Davis 8 100 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 6,974,843.3 Lafsalle 1 7 \$ 353,421.50 5 \$ 289,339.91 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.6 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 10,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.3 Morehouse 2 2 \$ 1,874,932.39 20 \$ 1,756,581.8 Nathitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans | | | | | | | | |
| Iberia 2 103 \$ 7,877,271.44 82 \$ 6,395,123.4. Iberville 5 66 \$ 4,825,398.84 52 \$ 3,966,655.9. Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.55 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 927 \$ 47,366,473.81 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 927 \$ 47,366,473.81 Lafauette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 511 \$ 3,106,045.6 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.33 Morehouse 2 2 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.83 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,72,721.0 Orleans 121 1,444 \$ 9,466,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| Iberville 5 66 \$ 4,825,398.84 52 \$ 3,964,655.9 Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.51 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 6,974,843.34 Lafsalle 1 7 \$ 333,421.50 5 \$ 289,339.91 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.64 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Livingston 21 2.64 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.33 Morehouse 2 2.2 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.88 Natchitoches 1 1.5 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 2,294,356.33 Ouachita 4 62 5 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.01 | | | | | | - | | |
| Jackson 0 9 \$ 702,569.70 8 \$ 680,430.51 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 59,112,653.25 927 \$ 47,366,473.81 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 922 \$ 6,974,843.3 Lafagette 7 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.64 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.47 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.84 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.34 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.8 Natchitoches 1 11 \$ 494,014.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.3 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.0 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 1,069,977.53 13 \$ 1,176 | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson 66 1,181 \$ 59,112,653.25 927 \$ 47,366,473.81 Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 6,974,843.3. LaSalle 1 7 \$ 353,421.50 5 \$ 289,339.91 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 31,06,045.64 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.88 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.37 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.87 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 2,2984,356.37 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.07 Pajuemines 14 139 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.07 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson Davis 8 109 \$ 8,064,987.26 92 \$ 6,974,843.34 Lafsalle 1 7 \$ 333,421.50 5 \$ 289,339.90 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 511 \$ 3,106,045,64 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.44 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.84 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.33 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 32,984,356.31 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.24 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.03 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.55 Pointe Coupee 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.33 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 | | - | | | | - | | |
| LaSalle 1 7 \$ 353,421.50 5 \$ 289,339.91 Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.6 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.41 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 1,765,581.83 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,2984,356.33 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.03 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 1,0026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.55 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.37 St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 2,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 | | | | | | | | |
| Lafayette 7 67 \$ 4,030,744.98 51 \$ 3,106,045.60 Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4. Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.3 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.8 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.3 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.0 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.57 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 4,11,76,204.07 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.57 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.3 | | | | | | | | |
| Lafourche 108 1,257 \$ 103,402,074.57 949 \$ 80,478,138.4 Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.8.4 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.3 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 18,74,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.8 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.31 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.00 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.57 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.00 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.53 St. Bernard 1 9 \$ 52,584.03 7 \$ 428,020.64 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 2,3358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 | | | - | | | - | | |
| Lincoln 1 6 \$ 476,642.27 4 \$ 310,533.80 Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.31 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.80 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.31 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869,60 50 \$ 3,272,721.01 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.51 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.31 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.31 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,092,824.64 St. Helena 144 283 \$ 23,558,89.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. Harles 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 2,4860,223.44 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | | | - | | |
| Livingston 21 264 \$ 21,093,587.48 197 \$ 15,401,882.34 Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.33 20 \$ 1,755,581.84 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.37 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 32,72,721.07 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.57 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.01 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.57 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 942,1722.31 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 St. Larles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,353,73.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | |
| Morehouse 2 22 \$ 1,874,932.93 20 \$ 1,756,581.80 Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.2 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.37 Quachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.07 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 1,0669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.07 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.57 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.31 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,0928,664.47 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,89.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. Ladry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.11.33 St. Ladry 4 68 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.7 | | | | | | | | |
| Natchitoches 1 15 \$ 940,146.07 12 \$ 780,131.24 Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.31 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.00 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.51 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.01 Rapides 8 844 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,011,369.55 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.31 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 St. Charles 222 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,558,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.13 St. Landry 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,49 | | | | | | | | |
| Orleans 121 1,144 \$ 49,461,703.94 803 \$ 32,984,356.33 Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.00 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.53 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.00 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.55 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.33 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 St. Charles 222 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.15 St. Martin 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Martin 4 68 \$ 4,800,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,8 | | | | | , , | | | 1,756,581.86 |
| Ouachita 4 62 \$ 4,034,869.60 50 \$ 3,272,721.00 Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.55 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.00 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,646,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.55 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.35 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,223.33 St. Charles 222 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.42 St. Helena 144 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.12 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.11 St. Martin 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588, | | | - | | | | | |
| Plaquemines 14 139 \$ 10,026,597.25 82 \$ 6,180,355.55 Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.05 Rapides 88 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.55 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.35 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.37 St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Marin 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,55.75 168 \$ 7,5 | | | , | | | | | |
| Pointe Coupee 1 19 \$ 1,669,977.53 13 \$ 1,176,204.03 Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.54 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.34 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.37 St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.47 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Martin 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,55.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 7,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,6 | | | - | | | | | |
| Rapides 8 84 \$ 6,464,271.85 63 \$ 4,611,369.54 Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.36 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.37 St. Charles 222 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.47 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 3224 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 444 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.07 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 | | 14 | | | | | | 6,180,355.55 |
| Sabine 1 9 \$ 562,584.03 7 \$ 421,722.30 St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.11 St. Martin 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 7,7328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,385.07 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.65 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | - | | | | | |
| St. Bernard 4 91 \$ 4,099,311.70 69 \$ 3,095,323.33 St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.77 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.00 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.61 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4,611,369.54</td> | | | | | | | | 4,611,369.54 |
| St. Charles 22 514 \$ 38,634,611.54 403 \$ 30,828,646.43 St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.13 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.13 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.73 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.00 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.62 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 | Sabine | 1 | 9 | | 562,584.03 | - | | 421,722.36 |
| St. Helena 14 283 \$ 23,358,889.83 223 \$ 19,360,901.44 St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.12 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.12 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.72 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.00 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.62 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 | St. Bernard | 4 | 91 | | 4,099,311.70 | 69 | | 3,095,323.37 |
| St. James 26 385 \$ 29,532,423.97 324 \$ 24,860,223.44 St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.11 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.71 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.01 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.61 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 | St. Charles | 22 | 514 | | 38,634,611.54 | 403 | | 30,828,646.42 |
| St. John the Baptist 44 777 \$ 52,455,376.00 663 \$ 45,048,911.11 St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.11 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.71 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.31 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.01 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.62 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 100 | St. Helena | 14 | 283 | | 23,358,889.83 | 223 | | 19,360,901.40 |
| St. Landry 4 68 \$ 4,800,654.37 51 \$ 3,774,895.17 St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.77 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.07 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.62 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.80 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.52 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.62 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ 10,46,78.70 \$ | St. James | 26 | 385 | \$ | 29,532,423.97 | 324 | | 24,860,223.46 |
| St. Martin 4 53 \$ 3,789,656.11 33 \$ 2,301,362.7 St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.03 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.63 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.80 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | St. John the Baptist | 44 | 777 | \$ | 52,455,376.00 | 663 | | 45,048,911.11 |
| St. Mary 4 97 \$ 7,459,751.51 69 \$ 5,421,493.33 St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.00 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.60 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.80 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.51 Washington 111 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 833 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.61 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | St. Landry | 4 | | | 4,800,654.37 | 51 | | 3,774,895.17 |
| St. Tammany 19 228 \$ 10,469,555.75 168 \$ 7,588,876.80 Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.01 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.61 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.80 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.51 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 10,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.61 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ 129,999.10 | St. Martin | 4 | | \$ | 3,789,656.11 | | \$ | 2,301,362.71 |
| Tangipahoa 88 996 \$ 77,328,034.98 753 \$ 58,601,835.0 Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.62 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | St. Mary | 4 | 97 | \$ | 7,459,751.51 | 69 | \$ | 5,421,493.35 |
| Terrebonne 125 1,670 \$ 141,160,936.25 1,307 \$ 112,963,848.20 Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.65 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | St. Tammany | 19 | 228 | \$ | 10,469,555.75 | 168 | \$ | 7,588,876.80 |
| Union 0 10 \$ 638,307.10 5 \$ 284,677.67 Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ - | Tangipahoa | 88 | 996 | \$ | 77,328,034.98 | 753 | \$ | 58,601,835.07 |
| Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.51 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.61 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ - | Terrebonne | 125 | 1,670 | \$ | 141,160,936.25 | 1,307 | \$ | 112,963,848.26 |
| Vermilion 3 80 \$ 6,109,433.16 58 \$ 4,336,746.84 Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.51 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.61 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ - | Union | 0 | 10 | \$ | | | | 284,677.62 |
| Vernon 4 39 \$ 3,025,937.10 28 \$ 2,175,900.55 Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.60 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | Vermilion | 3 | 80 | | | 58 | | 4,336,746.84 |
| Washington 11 104 \$ 7,398,925.03 83 \$ 5,835,283.00 West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | | | 39 | | | | | 2,175,900.55 |
| West Baton Rouge 2 13 \$ 1,044,678.70 9 \$ 755,086.20 West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.10 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | | | 104 | | | 83 | | 5,835,283.06 |
| West Feliciana 1 6 \$ 304,999.16 5 \$ 219,999.16 Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | | | | | | | | 755,086.20 |
| Winn 0 12 \$ 858,661.66 10 \$ 736,365.65 UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | | | | | | | | |
| UNKNOWN 0 0 \$ - 0 \$ | | | | | | | | |
| | | - | | Ŷ | | | - | |
| Total 932 12 521 \$207 200 151 21 9 662 \$702 765 700 5 | Total | 932 | 12,521 | | \$897,290,451.24 | 9,662 | Y | \$703,765,799.57 |